



MENU



AS

MUST READ: [Best computers in 2021: Which desktop PC alternative is right for you?](#)

White House issues guidance for federal agencies on AI applications

Elsewhere, US Congress has passed an IoT security Bill.



By [Aimee Chanthadavong](#) November 19, 2020 -- 00:43 GMT (08:43 SGT) | Topic: [Security](#)

US federal agencies have now been issued a guidance by the White House on how to regulate artificial intelligence (AI) applications that are produced in the US.

"This memorandum sets out policy considerations that should guide, to the extent permitted by law, regulatory and non-regulatory approaches to AI applications developed and deployed outside of the federal government," stated Russell Vought, director of the Office of Management and Budget (OMB) in the [memo \(https://www.whitehouse.gov/wp-content/uploads/2020/11/M-21-06.pdf\)](https://www.whitehouse.gov/wp-content/uploads/2020/11/M-21-06.pdf) [PDF] for all the heads of executive departments and agencies, including independent regulatory agencies.

The OMB guidance comes 21 months after President Donald Trump [signed an executive order \(https://www.zdnet.com/article/trump-signs-executive-order-prioritising-ai-development/\)](https://www.zdnet.com/article/trump-signs-executive-order-prioritising-ai-development/) to fast-track the development and regulation of AI in the US.

President Trump at the time touted the executive order would see the launch of the American AI initiative, which would place US resources towards ensuring that AI technology is made locally.

According to the guidance, the idea is to ensure that agencies do not introduce regulations and rules that "hamper AI innovation and growth".

"Where permitted by law, when deciding whether and how to regulate in an area that may affect AI applications, agencies should assess the effect of the potential regulation on AI

innovation and growth," it said.

"While narrowly tailored and evidence-based regulations that address specific and identifiable risks could provide an enabling environment for US companies to maintain global competitiveness, agencies must avoid a precautionary approach that holds AI systems to an impossibly high standard such that society cannot enjoy their benefits and that could undermine America's position as the global leader in AI innovation."

Read also: [How to govern AI in your organization: 6 tips](https://www.techrepublic.com/article/how-to-govern-ai-in-your-organization-6-tips/) (<https://www.techrepublic.com/article/how-to-govern-ai-in-your-organization-6-tips/>) (TechRepublic)

The guidance advises agencies to address inconsistent, burdensome, or duplicative state laws that could be hurting the national AI market.

"Where a uniform national standard for a specific aspect of AI is not essential, however, agencies should consider forgoing regulatory action," it said.

OMB listed 10 stewardship principles that federal agencies could use for AI applications. These were initially introduced as part of the [draft memorandum](https://www.zdnet.com/article/us-government-proposes-a-light-touch-to-developing-ai-regulation/) (<https://www.zdnet.com/article/us-government-proposes-a-light-touch-to-developing-ai-regulation/>) released at the start of the year.

These principles include creating public trust in AI by reducing accidents or by protecting the privacy of individual AI users; encourage public participation in how AI applied; deliver scientific integrity and information quality; apply consistent risk assessment and management across various agencies and technologies; maximise benefits and consider costs of employing AI; pursue flexible approaches to AI so it would not harm innovation; ensure the technology is fair and non-discriminate; ensure there is transparency when it comes to disclosures; promote the development of AI systems that are safe, secure, and operate in the way it is intended; and ensure agencies share experiences to their approach to AI.

At the same time, OMB also provided examples of how agencies could take non-regulatory approaches to address potential AI risks, such as setting sector-specific policy guidance or frameworks, delivering pilot programs and experiments, or introducing voluntary consensus standards or frameworks.

